



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance — With a Willingness to Fight!

### Contents

The Pope Stirs a Hornet’s Nest By Betty Luks ..... 2  
 Technology: A ‘Threat’ To Future Employment By Ken Grundy ..... 3  
 The Vatican’s Plan For A (Depopulated) New World Order By Michael Ferguson..... 4  
 The Climate Wars’ Damage To Science From Jonova’s Website ..... 4  
 SNP Bill To Spy On Parents Is Criticised By Families By Ben Borland ..... 4  
 “Sentence First, Verdict Afterwards” ..... 5  
 Do All Great Men Live In Tents? By John Steele ..... 6  
 Australian Company At The Centre Of US Bribery Scandal ..... 6  
 Major Banks Plead Guilty To Felony Charges Of Currency Fraud By Ethan A. Huff..... 6  
 Doctors And Drug Companies: Like Cream Cheese On Toast By Chris Knight..... 6  
 Did You Know ‘Your Car Causes War’? ..... 7  
 This Is Not "Hot Air" - They May Be Talking About "Global Warming" ..... 7

### THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

#### Pope Francis' climate change encyclical not binding on Catholics:

I was taught by religious sisters at a Catholic school many years ago that the only pronouncements of the Pope, which are binding on Catholics, are those relating to faith and morals, and only then when he speaks in his official position as Head of the Church, which is very rarely. In all other cases they reflect only his own opinion. Therefore, as a Catholic, I have no qualms about saying that I disagree with Pope Francis on

climate change. So, Catholics on the list, feel free to disagree.

Of course we have a responsibility to respect God’s creation, and in particular human life. Irresponsible dumping of toxic substances is reprehensible, but carbon dioxide is not toxic, but essential to all life on earth. As I am sure everyone on the list knows, photosynthesis is the formation of carbohydrates from carbon dioxide and water in the chlorophyll containing tissues of

plants exposed to light. Oxygen is released in the process. Without green plants, carbon dioxide, water and sunlight we would all starve. -- *Editor’s comments - Endeavour Forum Inc. 20 June 2015*

The Social Credit proposals explained in 10 lessons and viewed in the light of the social doctrine of the Church - Pius XI, Pius XII, John XXIII, Paul VI, John Paul II – A study prepared by Alain Pilote.

Ref: <http://alor.org/Library/Pilote%20A%20-%20Social%20Credit%20Proposals%20explained%20in%2010%20lessons.pdf>

## TARGETS— please support where you can!

**VERITAS BOOKS IS HAVING AN END OF YEAR + SALE until July 31<sup>st</sup> 2015  
 40% discount on all stock items @ [veritasbooks.com.au](http://veritasbooks.com.au)**

PLANING IS UNDERWAY FOR THE  
**THE AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS WA, SEMINAR & DINNER**  
 Saturday, 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2015 1.00pm to 8pm  
 Victoria League, 276 Onslow Road, Shenton Park, Perth.  
 For more information, please contact Neil (08) 95925984

## THE POPE STIRS A HORNET'S NEST by Betty Luks

"Surely all Christians must start on the common ground that both personal responsibility and mutual love, co-operation and help, are essential in any human society, and that, far from their being opposed, the latter, which is stressed by the Left in politics, is impossible without the former, which is stressed by the Right.

Both we know well, are liable to perversion: responsibility into a selfish power-seeking dominance, mutualism into a soul-less and irresponsible collectivism. But it is hellish work to condemn the good by identifying it with its perversion, in the name of Christianity". - - *Geoffrey Dobbs in "On Christian Moralism" 1988*

I readily admit to having read only comments on the Pope's Encyclical with a few of the direct quotations thrown in for discussion. We have asked for considered opinions from a couple of our Roman Catholic writers which will appear at a later date; in the meantime I just want to note some of the impressions an earlier article and quoted words made on me.

**An article headed "The Vatican's Journey From Anti-Communism to Anti-Capitalism"** appeared in 2013 by *The Atlantic* is a good example of how words are used without clear definitions. Gentle reader, how do you define the following terms? It reminds me of the many times people spell The Social **Creditor** as The Social **Creditor** which conveys an altogether opposite meaning to what is intended.

**The Atlantic.com wrote:** The Pope's strong condemnation of income inequality and free markets shows how much has changed in the Catholic Church since the Cold War. Pope Francis is once again shaking things up in the Catholic Church. On Tuesday, he issued his first "apostolic exhortation," declaring a new enemy for the Catholic Church: modern capitalism. "Some people continue to defend trickle-down theories which assume that economic growth, encouraged by a free market, will inevitably succeed in bringing about greater justice and inclusiveness in the world," he wrote. "This opinion, which has never been confirmed by the facts, expresses a crude and naïve trust in the

goodness of those wielding economic power and in the sacralized workings of the prevailing economic system."

He couldn't be much clearer. The pope has taken a firm political stance against right-leaning, pro-free market economic policies, and his condemnation appears to be largely pointed at Europe and the United States. His explicit reference to "trickle-down" economic policies—the hallmark of Ronald Reagan, Margaret Thatcher, and their political successors—is just the beginning: Throughout 224 pages on the future of the Church, he condemns income inequality, "the culture of prosperity," and "a financial system which rules rather than serves."

Taken in the context of the last half-century of Roman Catholicism, this is a radical move. Fifty years ago, around the time of the Second Vatican Council, Church leaders quietly declared a very different economic enemy: communism. But Pope Francis's communitarian, populist message shows just how far the Church has shifted in five decades—and how thoroughly capitalism has displaced communism as a monolithic political philosophy..."

**Continue reading here...**

<http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2013/11/the-vaticans-journey-from-anti-communism-to-anti-capitalism/281874/>

**There are some key words that need better definition before coming to grips with the Pope's Encyclical. The key words are:**

- Modern Capitalism : Free Market : prevailing economic system...
- Trickle-down theories : Hallmark of Ronald Reagan, Margaret Thatcher and their political successors...
- Financial System, which Rules rather than Serves.

**Modern Capitalism – Free Market:**

Broadly, there are three economic systems. The first is genuine Capitalism; the second genuine Socialism; the third Monopoly. In the first the producer meets the wishes of the consumer or goes out of business; in the second, the producer takes his orders from an omnipotent bureaucracy, and the consumer takes what is allowed to him; in the third, the producer serves the policy of a small omnipotent clique.

**All three are still in operation; but the third is fast eliminating the other two.**

How? Big Business, Multinationals and Transnational Corporations, Globalisation, Multilateral Agreements, 'Free Trade' Agreements, 'Free' Markets.

**Social Credit explains:**

**Capitalism is a system of Production without an adequate Mechanism of Distribution.**

It is no use of the old guard Socialists trying to maintain that the "Capitalist system" does not produce goods and services efficiently. It does. What it does not do is Distribute the Goods and Services – and that is a Money Problem.

**Trickle-down theories:** Hallmark of Ronald Reagan, Margaret Thatcher and their political successors... Yes, the Conservatives did try to encourage personal responsibility and initiative, to reward effort and merit, and to reduce taxation, bureaucracy and dependence on the State. But there was also something very wrong about some results of their policies:

- Privatisation that merely transferred assets from State bureaucracies to multinational financial bureaucracies.
- Policies stimulating enormous and growing consumer debt and encouraging 'yuppyism' and the 'grab-now-pay-later' mentality.

**A financial system which rules rather than serves:** The evils arising from a system that rules rather than serves are

all a direct expression of the nature of money as debt (i.e. loan-credit) repayable with interest, which ultimately is impossible without borrowing more - the very essence and enforcement of the 'grab-now-pay-later' philosophy.

Why have we not heard a word from the churches about the morality of this? Is it that such a target is too dangerous for them to attack? Christian principles are generally approved as long as they remain vague and general. It is when they are tied down to practice that they meet with denial.

**Taking is not Giving**

Taxation is taking, not giving, and not only from the taxed but from those whom they would have supported, either by spending or by investment. Its purpose is to raise revenue to repay the loans, which directly finance the operations of Government. But critics from the Christian Left do not seem interested in whether these assertions are true. Social justice, in their view, demands that 'the rich' shall be punished, or at any rate appear to be punished, even though in the process the poor are punished more. Never mind!

*(Continued on page 3)*

Ideological 'justice' will have been done! In practice, what this does is to induce everyone to submit to heavier taxation without protest, under the delusion that most of it is being paid by 'the rich' — which has never been true since taxation began. In the face of the huge manipulations of debt-finance, which control our lives, this passion for 'justice' on the merely better-off can do no more than spread the debt and the penury and divert attention from the real scandal.

### **Why Should Christians Prefer Compulsion?**

One argument for the necessity of taxation is that personal charity alone (and there is no other sort) could never meet the needs of the poor. Does this mean that Christians should prefer compulsion to love as a more effective power? If not, have these Christians taken the trouble to discover whether the total money-income of the

consuming population, rich and poor together, is adequate to abolish poverty, even though our real productive capacity is more than ample to do so? If it is, how do they explain the enormous volume of consumer-debt, mortgaging future pay, which is now a vital part of the economy, without which it would collapse?

### **This is not a matter for argument but for ascertaining the facts!**

### **POPE PIUS XI (31 May 1857 - 10 February 1939) STATED:**

"It is an injustice, a grave evil, and a disturbance of right order, for a larger and higher organisation to arrogate to itself functions which can be performed efficiently by smaller and lower bodies. That is a fundamental principle of social philosophy, unshaken and unchangeable and it retains its full truth today. Of its very nature the true aim of all social activity should be to help individual members of the social body, but never to destroy or absorb them."

We must either build a society based upon genuine Christian principles, or succumb to the policy of the very Devil himself, the subordination of the individual to collectivism in all its various forms. Catholic Social doctrine is very clear on this matter," wrote Eric D. Butler in a foreword to "Social Credit and Catholicism" by George-Henri Levesque, O.P. (1936)

"The greatest tragedy of the critical times in which we live, is the manner in which so many professed Christians of all denominations have uncritically helped further the anti-Christian policy of collectivism and centralisation... Social Crediters may not agree with every point made in "Social Credit and Catholicism," but, considering when it was written, it is an excellent little introduction to Social Credit and should stimulate Catholics and non-Catholics to investigate the subject further".

- - Eric D. Butler Pirron Yallock, Victoria.

---

## **TO THE EDITOR - TECHNOLOGY: A 'THREAT' TO FUTURE EMPLOYMENT?**

---

The Committee for Economic Development of Australia (CEDA) has raised the question as to how many jobs will be required in the next 10 years, if the current uptake of technology continues?

Technology delivers goods with price tags for consumers to pay but less purchasing power is distributed from the production process to buy the goods. The supposed balance between prices and available purchasing power is being lost even further.

**Modern economy can deliver:** Even though we presume there will always be a need for some human effort in industry, our modern economy can deliver much of what we require with a diminishing workforce and at the same time enable us to enjoy a higher standard of living.

For this to occur, a way must be found to distribute adequate purchasing power to the community as a whole, other than by wages and salaries. Whether the method is called welfare, a national bonus or dividend, it must be derived from a source other than taxation. If it is derived from taxation in the robot-driven production process, those

businesses will of necessity need to increase the prices on the goods for sale and the inflationary spiral will be given more thrust.

### **Would a bonus help?**

Let us propose a new payment to each citizen as a bonus. It could be seen exactly the same as a successful company, which rewards its loyal shareholders with a bonus payment. In this case, Australia (as a business) has been successful in providing the needs for its citizens to live life more abundantly.

**Money to be the servant:** There is no denying the advantages of the modern money system. It is far more convenient than barter, for instance. However the money system must be able to serve the economy and provide proper exchange of goods and services in a harmonious manner. It is to be the servant, not the master.

**Putting the servant to work:** What better authority is there than the Bank of England to tell us how the modern money system works? US Economist, Ellen Brown has written, "*In one of the bank's papers (Google "Money Creation in*

*the Modern Economy"*), .... *the BoE confirmed what money reformers have been saying for decades: that banks do not act simply as intermediaries, taking the deposits of "savers" and lending to borrowers, keeping the spread of interest rates. Rather, banks actually create deposits when they make loans."*

Now we know the banks create money, which is in the form of financial figures on a computer enabling cheques and credit cards etc to function smoothly; we can easily visualise how some of this new money could be the source of funding for the National bonus. Rather than introduce the new money as a **debt**, which happens currently, some could be as a **credit** and thus **offset previous debt**. Of course this would not fall to individual banks but be part of a national initiative on behalf of the sovereign people of Australia.

It has been valuable that CEDA has raised the subject of technology and employment. It gives the opportunity to consider all suggestions of remediation. It is time to look outside of the square.

Ken Grundy, Naracoorte SA

**"ON TARGET"** is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

# THE VATICAN'S PLAN FOR A (DEPOPULATED) NEW WORLD ORDER

By Michael Ferguson

"Consumerism Feeds Pile of Filth, says Pope" (*The Australian*, June 19, 2015, p.5). Third world Pope Francis has put out his new Encyclical letter on climate change and the so-called environmental crisis. "The Earth, our home, is beginning to look more and more like an immense pile of filth", he says. Alluding to the infamous "Our Common Future" Report, which kicked off the notion of "sustainable development", the new

Encyclical puts climate change as the lead horse in the Apocalypse.

Population is apparently not a problem for the Pope, who is happy to have migrants flooding the West. But as Mike Adams at Natural News.com June 15, 2015 points out intellectuals behind the Pope have more radical opinions, such as climate guru Prof John Schellnhuber. He has said that the Earth is over populated

by at least 6 billion people. As well, Schellnhuber wants a world government with an "Earth Constitution" and planetary Court. Eco-scientists would rule the world. Mike Adams, never one to mince words, conjectures that such a world government would probably eliminate most of us to achieve "ecological sustainability".

**This is not "hot air" - they may be talking about "global warming" but the evil behind this ideology fills me with an icy chill.**

---

## THE CLIMATE WARS' DAMAGE TO SCIENCE from JoNova's website

Matt Ridley has produced the shortest whole, killer summary of the sordid state of climate science, science journalism, and science associations for *Quadrant* magazine. This is the ideal single-chapter-length-work to bring in anyone who missed the last twenty years of clima-farce, scandal, hubris and hypocrisy.

Matt is not just summing up the way his career as a science writer has transformed, but also writing the best review of the IPA book "Climate Change: The Facts" that I have yet seen. He talks about the way science writers used to ignore the papers that didn't impress them, and leave it up to the scientists to take them apart, but now the supposedly most esteemed scientists stay silent while abject failures not only get published in the scientific world, but get absurdly lauded in the media, and

tweeted by "the President".

**Formerly great scientific institutions have turned themselves inside out:**

*"The Royal Society once used to promise "never to give their opinion, as a body, upon any subject". Its very motto is "nullius in verba": take nobody's word for it. Now it puts out catechisms of what you must believe in. "*

Matt's career, like mine, started with faith that science and the human industries of it, were self-correcting. *"For much of my life I have been a science writer", he explains, but now "... thanks largely to climate science, I have changed my mind. It turns out bad ideas can persist in science for decades, and surrounded by myrmidons of furious defenders, they can turn into intolerant dogmas."* He feels betrayed by an industry he once championed. I too used

to communicate the awe and value of research, but now end up mostly only awed at the mountain of money wasted on self-satirical works like Lewandowsky, and Cook *et al*. I mock my former alma maters, UWA (University of Western Australia) and ANU (Australian National University). My role in science communication is to point out the grand failure of my profession, who are trained to sell science to the public, but should be serving the public by asking the hard questions instead. Science Communicators should be the guardians of the scientific method, but most science writers don't seem to know what it is. They should be the backstop when the institutions of science fail, not the cheerleaders for wasted public funds.

Ref: <http://joannenova.com.au/2015/06/the-climate-wars-are-damaging-science/>  
<http://quadrant.org.au/magazine/2015/06/climate-wars-done-science/>  
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0986398306/>

---

## SNP BILL TO SPY ON PARENTS IS CRITICISED BY FAMILIES by Ben Borland

The SNP (Scottish National Party) is facing growing calls to drop a sinister plan to interfere with family life by assigning every child in the country a state-sponsored guardian - or 'Named Person'. Hundreds have signed an online petition demanding MSPs vote against the proposals, when they come before Holyrood as part of The Children and Young People Bill later this summer. There is also the possibility of a legal

challenge under the European Convention on Human Rights, which gives everybody the right to a private and family life, free from state interference. Under the "scary" legislation, known as GIRFEC (Getting It Right For Every Child), every child aged under 18 will have a 'Named Person' with the legal right to ensure they are raised in a government-approved manner. It will also mean that sensitive personal

details about every child - even down to the names of their pets - can be recorded, stored and shared on a central database. Incredibly, GIRFEC has already been adopted by almost every local authority in Scotland and yet most people - including some MSPs - have no idea of the full extent of its Big Brother-style interference....

Ref: <http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/400779/SNP-bill-to-spy-on-parents-is-criticised-by-families>

**“We do not believe any group of men adequate enough or wise enough to operate without scrutiny or without criticism. We know that the only way to avoid error is to detect it, that the only way to detect it is to be free to inquire. We know that in secrecy error undetected will flourish and subvert”. - - J. Robert Oppenheimer.**

## **“SENTENCE FIRST, VERDICT AFTERWARDS”: THE ALICE IN WONDERLAND WORLD OF FAST-TRACKED SECRET TRADE AGREEMENTS**

**By Ellen Brown - Global Research.**

*‘Let the jury consider their verdict,’ the King said, for about the twentieth time that day.*

*‘No, no!’ said the Queen. ‘Sentence first—verdict afterwards.’*

*‘Stuff and nonsense!’ said Alice loudly.*

*‘The idea of having the sentence first!’*

*‘Hold your tongue!’ said the Queen, turning purple.*

*‘I won’t!’ said Alice.*

*‘Off with her head!’ the Queen shouted at the top of her voice.*

— Lewis Carroll, *“Alice’s Adventures in Wonderland”*

Fast-track authority is being sought in the Senate this week for the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), along with the Trade in Services Agreement (TiSA) and any other such trade agreements coming down the pike in the next six years. The terms of the TPP and the TiSA are so secret that drafts of the negotiations are to remain classified for four years and five years, respectively, *after* the deals have been passed into law. How can laws be enforced against people and governments, who are not allowed to know what was negotiated?

The TPP, TiSA and Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (or TTIP, which covers Europe) will collectively encompass three-fourths of the world’s GDP; and they ultimately seek to encompass nearly 90 percent of GDP. Despite this enormous global impact, fast-track authority would allow the President to sign the deals before their terms have been made public, and send implementing legislation to Congress that cannot be amended or filibustered and is not subject to the constitutional requirement of a two-thirds treaty vote.

While the deals are being negotiated, lawmakers can see their terms only under the strictest secrecy, and they can be subjected to criminal prosecution for revealing those terms. What we know of them comes only through WikiLeaks. The agreements are being treated as if they were a matter of grave national security, yet they are not about troop movements or military strategy. Something else is obviously going on. The bizarre, unconstitutional, blatantly illegal nature of this enforced secrecy was highlighted in a May 15th article by Jon Rappoport, titled “What Law Says the Text of the TPP Must Remain Secret?” He wrote: It seems like a case

of mass hypnosis. . . . Members of Congress are scuttling around like weasels, claiming they can’t disclose what’s in this far-reaching, 12-nation trade treaty. They can go into a sealed room and read a draft, but they can’t copy pages, and they can’t tell the public, what they just read. Why not? If there is a US law forbidding disclosure, name the law.

Can you recall anything in the Constitution that establishes secret treaties?

Is there a prior treaty that states the text of all treaties can be hidden from the people?

To Congressmen, who say they cannot reveal what is in a treaty, that will adversely affect the lives of hundreds of millions of people, Rappoport says: Wrong. You’re lying. You can reveal secret text. In fact, it’s your duty. Otherwise, you’re guilty of cooperating in a RICO (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations) criminal conspiracy.

### **A Corporate Coup d’État**

What is going on was predicted by David Korten in his 1995 blockbuster, *When Corporations Rule The World*. Catherine Austin Fitts calls it a “corporate *coup d’état*.”

This corporate coup includes the privatization and off-shoring of the judicial function delegated to the US court system in the Constitution, through Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) provisions that strengthen existing ISDS procedures.

As explained in *The Economist*, ISDS gives foreign firms a special right to apply to a secretive tribunal of highly paid corporate lawyers for compensation whenever the government passes a law to do things that hurt corporate profits — such things as: discouraging smoking, protecting the environment or preventing a nuclear catastrophe. Arbitrators are paid \$600-700 an hour, giving them little incentive to dismiss cases. The secretive nature of the arbitration process and the lack of any requirement to consider precedent give wide scope for creative judgments — the sort of arbitrary edicts satirized by Lewis Carroll in *Alice’s Adventures in Wonderland*.

To date, the highest ISDS award has been for \$2.3 billion to Occidental Oil Company against the government of

Ecuador over its termination of an oil-concession contract, although the termination was apparently legal. Under the TPP, however, even larger and more unpredictable judgments can be anticipated, since the sort of “investment” it protects includes not just “the commitment of capital or other resources” but “the expectation of gain or profit.” That means the rights of corporations extend not merely to their factories and other “capital” but to the profits they expect to receive. Just the threat of a massive damage award for impairing “expected corporate profits” could be enough to discourage prospective legislation by lawmakers. The Trade in Services Agreement adds additional barriers to proposed legislation. TiSA involves 51 countries, including every advanced economy except the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa). The deal would liberalize global trade in services covering close to 80% of the US economy, including financial services, healthcare, education, engineering, telecommunications, and many more. It would restrict how governments can manage their public laws, and it could dismantle and privatize state-owned enterprises, turning those services over to the private sector. It would also block the emerging trend to return privatized services to the public sector, by limiting or prohibiting governments from creating or re-establishing public utilities and other “uncompetitive” forms of service delivery.

It seems that the TPP, TTIP and TiSA are not about the sort of “free trade” that would free local businesses to sell abroad. They are about freeing international corporations from the government regulation necessary to protect the economy, the people, and the environment. They are about preserving privatized monopolies and preventing competition from the public sector. And they are about moving litigation offshore into private arbitrary tribunals — the sort of tribunal that might have lost Alice her head, if she had not awakened from her bizarre dream.

**For more information, see —**  
Flush the TPP

The Citizens Trade Campaign  
Public Citizen’s Global Trade Watch  
Eyes on Trade

## DO ALL GREAT MEN LIVE IN TENTS?

By John Steele

Living out here in the Victorian scrub in my tent, I sometimes get *The Weekend Australian* because the vast amount of paper is useful for someone living rough, completely off the grid. To my surprise *The Weekend Australian Magazine* June 13-14, contained an article about Peter Stutchbury, winner of the Australian

architectures highest prize, the Australian Institute of Architectures Gold Cup medal. To my surprise Stutchbury lives in a tent on the Avalon headland on Sydney's northern beaches! I know nothing about architecture and care little about it, but I can respect a man who lives in a tent. A tent gets one

back to basics; one can strip away consumer nonsense and clutter. I imagine that from this raw simplicity all things may be possible - that anyway is what I tell myself so that I bother to get out of my sleeping bag every day.

---

## AUSTRALIAN COMPANY AT THE CENTRE OF US BRIBERY SCANDAL

Australian red light camera company Redflex has been rocked by more US legal troubles, with its former chief executive of North American operations pleading guilty to bribery charges in Ohio.

Karen Finley was already facing 16 counts, including mail fraud, bribery and

conspiracy to commit bribery, for a separate \$US2 million (\$A2.56 million) bribery scandal involving a Chicago official.

Federal prosecutors in Ohio revealed on Friday they had gone after 55-year-old Finley for an eight-year bribery and fraud scheme involving politicians in the

cities of Cincinnati and Columbus. The Melbourne-headquartered, Australian Securities Exchange-listed Redflex has suffered a slump in business in the US since the scandals became public...

Ref: <http://www.sbs.com.au/news/article/2015/06/21/australian-company-centre-us-bribery-scandal>

---

## MAJOR BANKS PLEAD GUILTY TO FELONY CHARGES OF CURRENCY FRAUD, BUT ARE ALLOWED TO CONTINUE SCAM AFTER PAYING FINE - AND NOBODY'S IN JAIL!

By Ethan A. Huff, staff writer (NaturalNews)

Several top kingpins behind the global financial collapse of 2008 have reportedly agreed to plead guilty for their sinister crimes, but under one major condition: that they won't actually be held legally culpable. Five of the world's largest banks -- Citigroup, JPMorgan Chase, Barclays PLC, the Royal Bank of Scotland and UBS -- have all confessed to deliberately manipulating the global foreign exchange markets for illicit gain, but all of them are getting off the hook with petty fines rather than jail time and tangible operations penalties.

The collective sum of these fines clocks in at around \$9 billion..."The effect of the guilty pleas will be essentially zero, beyond the immediate costs of the fines levied on the institutions," wrote Andre Damon for WSWS.org. "As the Times put it, 'life will go on, probably without much of a hiccup.'"

Ref: [http://www.naturalnews.com/050156\\_banking\\_cartels\\_currency\\_fraud\\_corrupt\\_justice\\_system.html](http://www.naturalnews.com/050156_banking_cartels_currency_fraud_corrupt_justice_system.html)



---

## DOCTORS AND DRUG COMPANIES: LIKE CREAM CHEESE ON TOAST

By Chris Knight

Doctors and Big Pharma often have a relationship, which violates the doctor's role of giving primary concern to patient health over that of profit. But as R.K. Bansal and S. Sanjoy Das ("Unethical Relationship between Doctors and Drugs Companies", JIAFM, vol.27, 2005) note, globally doctors are often bribed by Big Pharma with gifts for doctors to prescribe new drugs, or drugs which are much more expensive than generic

brands. Worse, Big Pharma may ghost write articles for some big name doctors to promote drugs about which the doctor has no experience and supply money and lavish trips for doctors promoting their drugs.

Big Pharma also recruits doctors to talk to other doctors, as "thought leaders", to promote their drugs. The doctors may even be given presentation slides. A study of 334,000 doctors reported, that

when Big Pharma pays a doctor, he/she is highly likely to prescribe that drug, and much more likely than if not paid. Here is a situation where we need legislation to make what is essentially a form of bribery, illegal. It would be an easy solution, but hard to achieve because Big Pharma is the most powerful lobby group that you have never heard of, as it has been put.

Ref: <http://medind.nic.in/jal/t05/i1/jalt05i1p40.pdf>  
[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=2297094](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2297094)



## DID YOU KNOW 'YOUR CAR CAUSES WAR'?

Thank you to JoNova for her recent article on the fear tactics being used to herd the sheeple flock further along the highway to the gulags of the New World Order (23 June 2015). The headlines read: **"Your car causes war! Feel the fear about Australia's climate security"** Not only climate change destroy coffee, chocolate and beer, but war is going to ruin your weekends too. When war breaks out and they come for your first-born, you may ask if you should've left the car in the garage more. You may wonder if you could have used public transport, and converted all the lights to LEDs sooner and only eaten locally sourced oranges. Feel the guilt. Send them your money.

**Walk for Peace!** There is no end to the combinations and permutations of ways to use fear to ask for funds. Before we set up Departments of Climate Change, Global carbon trading markets, Emissions reductions schemes, and prepare our Defence Force for the wave of violent desperate climate refugees that were forecast but didn't come, we need one thing more than any other. **We need climate models that actually work...** Read further here...

<http://joannenova.com.au/>

### **Carbon Credits: What Happened to the Norfolk Island Program?**

After reading JoNova's article I thought I would catch up on what happened to Dr. Garry Egger's program for the Norfolk Islanders. (Is it really over four years since Andrew Bolt wrote the article on "Warmists Put Norfolk Island on Rations"? Andrew Bolt Blog, 1 November 2010). One does wonder if

the Norfolk Islanders have continued on from their three-year program of carbon diets. Anyone know any resident on the Island who would fill us in on the results of the program?

### **Reducing Climate Change and Obesity in 'One Hit'! In 2010 Andrew wrote:**

"The global warming faith is just the latest vehicle for people who like to order other people around "for their own good". Nothing reveals its appeal to the inner totalitarian better than the latest taxpayer-funded (of course ... ed) research of the Southern Cross University:

Southern Cross University is set to lead a project testing the world's first Personal Carbon Trading program conducted in a 'closed system' island environment on Norfolk Island commencing early next year. This follows the announcement this week of a Linkage Projects grant by the Australian Research Council valued at \$390,000.

Leading chief investigator Professor Garry Egger, a Professor of Lifestyle Medicine and Applied Health Promotion at Southern Cross University, said the main goals of the project were to test the effectiveness of a Personal Carbon Trading scheme over a three year period; reduce per capita carbon emissions and reduce obesity and obesity related behaviours...

**"This is a project for looking at reducing climate change and obesity in the one hit..."**

"The way the system will work is basically it will involve giving everyone on the island a carbon card, like a credit or debit card, and they will get carbon

units on that card. Then every time they go and pay for their petrol or their power - and from the second year their food - **it will not only be paid for in money but it will also come off the carbon units that they are given for free at the start of the program.**

"If they're frugal and don't buy a lot of petrol or power or fatty foods, then they can actually have units to spare at the end of a set time period so that they can cash those in at the bank and make money from them.

"If they aren't frugal and they are very wasteful and they produce a lot of carbon and consume unhealthy foods then every year they will have to buy extra units. Also over time - as we target lower carbon emissions and increasing health goals - the number of carbon units they are given will go down and therefore the price for the individual will go up to sustain that lifestyle they are not prepared to forego..."

**Of course, to fulfil its mission, the experiment will have to include the bit where emissions are forced down if the guinea pigs don't respond to the bribes..."**

**Ask the Greek people if their 'carbon units' have not already been reduced? It's not called that but the effects are the same! Ask the older Russian people if their 'carbon units' were not reduced under the Soviet regimes? Just enough 'carbon units' (in the form of food) were issued in the Gulags so they could slave away for their Soviet masters!**

## CARBON CURRENCY: A NEW BEGINNING FOR TECHNOCRACY?

We should not limit our thinking and questioning as to what the One Worlders are up to with their 'carbon credits' - what about BANK 'credits'? What have they got in store for us here? I see the idea of abolishing notes and coins and using only BANK CREDIT (read loan-debt) has been floated by a number of economists. Just think how well such a scheme would slot in with a wholesale Carbon Currency scheme!

**But wait the Commonwealth Constitution ensures governments are responsible for the issue of Currency, Notes and Coins. How will they try to get round that Constitutional requirement?**

### **Patrick Wood of Global Research wrote on a Carbon Currency concept awhile back:**

"Critics who think that the U.S. dollar will be replaced by some new global currency are perhaps thinking too small. On the world horizon looms a new global currency that could replace all paper currencies and the economic system upon which they are based. The new currency, simply called Carbon Currency, is designed to support a revolutionary new economic system based on energy (production, and consumption), instead of price. Our current price-based economic system and its related currencies that have supported capitalism, socialism, fascism and communism, is being herded to the slaughterhouse in order to make way for

a new carbon-based world. Forces are already at work to position a new Carbon Currency as the ultimate solution to global calls for poverty reduction, population control, environmental control, global warming, energy allocation and blanket distribution of economic wealth. Unfortunately for individual people living in this new system, it will also require authoritarian and centralized control over all aspects of life, from cradle to grave...

### **What is Carbon Currency and how does it work?**

"In a nutshell, Carbon Currency will be based on the regular allocation of available energy to the people of the world. If not used within a period of time, the Currency will expire (like

*(Continued on page 8)*

(Continued from page 7)

monthly minutes on your cell phone plan) so that the same people can receive a new allocation based on new energy production quotas for the next period.

**Because the energy supply chain is already dominated by the global elite, setting energy production quotas will limit the amount of Carbon Currency**

**in circulation at any one time. It will also naturally limit manufacturing, food production and people movement.**


"Local currencies could remain in play for a time, but they would eventually wither and be fully replaced by the Carbon Currency, much the same way that the Euro displaced individual European currencies over a period of time. Sounds very modern in concept,

doesn't it? In fact, these ideas date back to the 1930's when hundreds of thousands of U.S. citizens were embracing a new political ideology called Technocracy and the promise it held for a better life.

Classic literature was heavily influenced by Technocracy in: George Orwell's "1984", H.G. Well's "The Shape of Things to Come" and Huxley's 'scientific dictatorship' in "Brave New World".

Source: Ref: [http://www.augustforecast.com/carbon\\_currency\\_a\\_new\\_beginning\\_for\\_technocracy](http://www.augustforecast.com/carbon_currency_a_new_beginning_for_technocracy)

### Smart Grid: The Implementation of Technocracy?



According to the United Nations Governing Council of the UN Environmental Programme (UNEP), "our dominant economic model may be termed a 'brown' economy." UNEP's clearly stated goal is to overturn the 'brown' economy and replace it with a 'green' economy:

"A green economy implies the decoupling of resource use and environmental impacts from economic growth... These investments, both public and private, provide the mechanism for the reconfiguration of businesses, infrastructure and institutions, and for the adoption of sustainable consumption and production processes."

Ref: [http://www.augustforecast.com/smart\\_grid\\_the\\_implementation\\_of\\_technocracy](http://www.augustforecast.com/smart_grid_the_implementation_of_technocracy)

# Veritas Books

ABN 83008 931619

[VeritasBooks.com.au](http://VeritasBooks.com.au)

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131

Email: [admin@veritasbooks.com.au](mailto:admin@veritasbooks.com.au)

\*\*\*\*\*

## BOOKS, BOOKS AND OTHER MEDIA!

**Veritas has a wide range of books not found in the usual run of book outlets.**

**The subject matter ranges through Censored History, Education, Environment, Finance Economics, Health, Politics, Race & Culture, Social Credit and various Multi-Media presentations.**

**Subscriptions to Australian League of Rights publications.**

**Payment can be made online for ease of shopping.**

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: [www.alor.org/](http://www.alor.org/)

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*' —

Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to '*Australian League of Rights*' or '*ALOR*'

Books are available at meetings, or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,

Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone 03 9600 0677

**South Australia**

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: [veritasbooks.com.au/](http://veritasbooks.com.au/)

You will find the audio / video library here...

<http://alor.org/avLibrary/index.php>

Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,

Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.